

General Disclaimer

One or more of the Following Statements may affect this Document

- This document has been reproduced from the best copy furnished by the organizational source. It is being released in the interest of making available as much information as possible.
- This document may contain data, which exceeds the sheet parameters. It was furnished in this condition by the organizational source and is the best copy available.
- This document may contain tone-on-tone or color graphs, charts and/or pictures, which have been reproduced in black and white.
- This document is paginated as submitted by the original source.
- Portions of this document are not fully legible due to the historical nature of some of the material. However, it is the best reproduction available from the original submission.

X-647-71-237
PREPRINT

NASA TM X-65598

COSMIC MATTER-ANTIMATTER ANNIHILATION AND THE γ -RAY BACKGROUND SPECTRUM

**F. W. STECKER
D. L. MORGAN, JR.
J. BREDEKAMP**

MAY 1971



GSFC

**GODDARD SPACE FLIGHT CENTER
GREENBELT, MARYLAND**

N71-29924

FACILITY FORM 602

(ACCESSION NUMBER)

39
(PAGES)

TMX-65598
(NASA CR OR TMX OR AD NUMBER)

(THRU)

G3
(CODE)

29
(CATEGORY)

COSMIC MATTER-ANTIMATTER ANNIHILATION
AND THE γ -RAY BACKGROUND SPECTRUM

F. W. Stecker

D. L. Morgan, Jr.*

J. Bredekamp

Theoretical Studies Branch
NASA Goddard Space Flight Center
Greenbelt, Maryland 20771

May 1971

*NAS-NRC Postdoctoral Resident Research Associate

GODDARD SPACE FLIGHT CENTER
Greenbelt, Maryland

PRECEDING PAGE BLANK NOT FILMED

COSMIC MATTER-ANTIMATTER ANNIHILATION
AND THE γ -RAY BACKGROUND SPECTRUM

F. W. Stecker
D. L. Morgan, Jr.*
J. Bredekamp

Theoretical Studies Branch
NASA Goddard Space Flight Center
Greenbelt, Maryland 20771

ABSTRACT

We present here some initial results of a detailed calculation of the cosmological γ -ray spectrum from matter-antimatter annihilation in the universe. The similarity of the calculated spectrum with the present observations of the γ -ray background spectrum above 1 MeV suggests that such observations may be evidence of the existence of antimatter on a large scale in the universe. Quantitative comparison of the calculations with the existing observations indicates that the product of interacting matter and antimatter densities at present is $\tilde{n}_{p,0} n_{\bar{p},0} \simeq 4 \times 10^{-26} \text{ cm}^{-6}$.

*NAS-NRC Postdoctoral Resident Research Associate

COSMIC MATTER-ANTIMATTER ANNIHILATION AND THE γ -RAY BACKGROUND SPECTRUM

I. INTRODUCTION

The question of the existence of antimatter on a cosmological scale is one of the most basic problems of physics and cosmology. Recently it has taken on added interest because of the suggested possible role of antimatter in galaxy formation and the evolution of the universe (Alvén, 1965; Harrison, 1967; Omnés, 1969). It has long been recognized that the most promising way to search for evidence of antimatter on a cosmological scale is to attempt to observe γ -rays of cosmic origin which would be produced by the decay of neutral pions arising from matter-antimatter annihilation. In order to determine the annihilation-origin of such γ -rays, one must first calculate the γ -ray spectrum which would be produced by such annihilations so that the calculated spectrum may be compared with observational data on the γ -ray background spectrum for possible identification.

For the purpose of discussion, we define the terms "RG" and "CR" as follows. An RG (rest-gas) proton (or antiproton) is one possessing negligible kinetic energy with respect to the surrounding gas in an astronomical system. For example, nuclei of the galactic interstellar gas clouds from which stars are formed may be considered RG nuclei. A CR (cosmic-ray) proton (or antiproton) would be one possessing relativistic energy. We may thus envision four situations for discussion in which matter and antimatter might interact:

- (1) RG matter + RG antimatter (RG- $\overline{\text{RG}}$)
- (2) RG matter + CR antimatter (RG- $\overline{\text{CR}}$)

(3) CR matter + RG antimatter ($\text{CR}-\overline{\text{RG}}$)

(4) CR matter + CR antimatter ($\text{CR}-\overline{\text{CR}}$)

Although astrophysics has revealed many surprising phenomena, we would be hard put to imagine a system in which the number of RG nuclei did not greatly outnumber the number of CR nuclei. Indeed, the evolution of such a system from a more balanced one would violate the second law of thermodynamics. Therefore, if we let the number of RG nuclei in a typical system be N and the number of CR nuclei in the system be of the order ϵN , with $\epsilon \ll 1$, then typical interaction rates would have the properties

$$\frac{R(\text{RG}-\overline{\text{CR}})}{R(\text{RG}-\overline{\text{RG}})} \sim \frac{R(\text{CR}-\overline{\text{RG}})}{R(\text{RG}-\overline{\text{RG}})} \sim \epsilon \quad (1)$$

$$\frac{R(\text{CR}-\overline{\text{CR}})}{R(\text{CR}-\overline{\text{RG}})} \sim \frac{R(\text{CR}-\overline{\text{CR}})}{R(\text{RG}-\overline{\text{CR}})} \sim \epsilon \quad (2)$$

and

$$\frac{R(\text{CR}-\overline{\text{CR}})}{R(\text{RG}-\overline{\text{RG}})} \sim \epsilon^2 \quad (3)$$

We will thus assume that the likelihood of $\text{CR}-\overline{\text{CR}}$ interactions is negligible compared to the likelihood of $\text{RG}-\overline{\text{CR}}$ or $\text{CR}-\overline{\text{RG}}$ interactions that will produce γ -rays of similar characteristics, and we may limit ourselves to the discussion of $\text{RG}-\overline{\text{RG}}$ and $\text{RG}-\overline{\text{CR}}$ (or $\text{CR}-\overline{\text{RG}}$) interactions.

II. THE γ -RAY SOURCE SPECTRUM

The γ -ray source spectrum from $p-\bar{p}$ interactions of the $\text{RG}-\overline{\text{CR}}$ type is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
q_{\text{RG}-\overline{\text{CR}}}(\mathbf{E}_\gamma, \vec{r}) &= 4\pi n_p(\vec{r}) \int dE_{\bar{p}} I(\mathbf{E}_{\bar{p}}, \vec{r}) \sum_s \int dE_s \sigma_s(E_s | E_{\bar{p}}) \\
&\times \sum_d \zeta_{\gamma d} R_{\gamma d} f_{ds}(E_\gamma | E_s)
\end{aligned}
\tag{4}$$

For interactions of the CR- $\overline{\text{RG}}$ type, we use the corresponding expression

$$\begin{aligned}
q_{\text{CR}-\overline{\text{RG}}}(\mathbf{E}_\gamma, \vec{r}) &= 4\pi n_{\bar{p}}(\vec{r}) \int dE_p I(\mathbf{E}_p, \vec{r}) \sum_s \int dE_s \sigma_s(E_s | E_p) \\
&\times \sum_d \zeta_{\gamma d} R_{\gamma d} f_{ds}(E_\gamma | E_s)
\end{aligned}
\tag{5}$$

In equations (4) and (5), the quantity $n(\vec{r})$ is the number of target nucleons in the medium per cubic centimeter as a function of position; $I(\mathbf{E}, \vec{r})$ is the differential cosmic-ray particle flux in $\text{cm}^{-2} \cdot \text{s}^{-1} \cdot \text{sr}^{-1} \cdot \text{GeV}^{-1}$. The subscript p stands for proton, \bar{p} for antiproton, s for secondary particle produced in the collision, and d for decay mode. The production function $\sigma_s(E_s | E_p)$ represents the cross section for production of secondary particles of type s and energy E_s in a collision of primary energy E_p , $\zeta_{\gamma d}$ represents the number of γ -rays produced in the decay mode d , $R_{\gamma d}$ is the branching ratio for the decay mode d (the probability that a secondary particle s will decay via mode d) and $f_{ds}(E_\gamma | E_s)$ is the normalized distribution function representing the probability that a secondary particle with energy E_s will decay to produce a γ -ray of energy E_γ . For the purposes of discussion, we will restrict ourselves to p - \bar{p} interactions.

The situation for matter-antimatter annihilations occurring near rest is somewhat different. In this case, we will find it more convenient to speak of particle densities instead of fluxes or intensities. We thus make the transformation

$$\int dE_k I_k(E_k, \vec{r}) \rightarrow \frac{n_k(\vec{r})}{4\pi} \int dv v f(v) = \frac{n_k(r) \langle v \rangle}{4\pi} \quad (6)$$

where v is the relative velocity between the annihilating nucleon and antinucleon, which we can consider to be a thermal velocity, and $f(v)$ is a normalized distribution function representing the distribution of relative velocities between the interacting nucleons.

The γ -ray source spectrum from p - \bar{p} interactions at or near rest is given by

$$q_{RG-\overline{RG}}(E_\gamma, \vec{r}) = n_p(\vec{r}) n_{\bar{p}}(\vec{r}) \int dv f(v) v \sum_s \int dE_s \sigma_s(E_s | v) \times \sum_d \zeta_{\gamma d} R_{\gamma d} f_{ds}(E_\gamma | E_s) \quad (7)$$

We therefore define the emission measure B as

$$B = \int dl n_p(\vec{r}) n_{\bar{p}}(\vec{r}) \quad (8)$$

It follows from equation (7) that

$$I_{RG-RG}(E_\gamma) = \frac{1}{4\pi} \int dl q(\vec{r}) \propto B \quad (9)$$

where l is the distance along the line of sight.

III. CROSS SECTIONS FOR PROTON-ANTIPROTON ANNIHILATIONS NEAR REST

We now state more precisely what we mean by a "rest gas." We define a rest gas to be a gas of particles such that no particle in the gas has an energy greater than 286 MeV. This rather liberal definition of rest is sufficient to

insure that the only secondary particles produced in RG- $\overline{\text{RG}}$ interactions that yield γ -rays are secondary mesons produced by nucleon-antinucleon annihilation. Our restriction leaves out interactions of the type

$$p + \bar{p} \rightarrow p + \bar{p} + \pi^0 \quad (10)$$

since the threshold for reactions of this type is 286 MeV.

Since the threshold for nonannihilation, inelastic, p - \bar{p} interactions that produce particles other than π^0 -mesons is even greater, it follows that in the RG- $\overline{\text{RG}}$ case, only annihilations; i.e., reactions of the form

$$p + \bar{p} \rightarrow \text{bosons} \quad (11)$$

\downarrow
 \rightarrow neutral pions
 \downarrow
 $\rightarrow \gamma$ -rays

need be considered.

Table 1 lists the experimental cross sections for free p - \bar{p} annihilation as a function of incident antiproton kinetic energy at accelerator energies. Also listed are β_{cms} and the product of the annihilation cross section (σ_A) and cms velocity (β_{cms}). It can be seen from table 1 that the product of cms velocity and annihilation cross section is constant over a very large energy range. For non-relativistic energies,

$$\beta_{\text{cms}} \simeq \frac{1}{2} \beta \quad (\beta \ll 1) \quad (12)$$

β being the relative velocity of the particles. We find experimentally that

$$\sigma_A \simeq \frac{4.8 \times 10^{-26} \text{ cm}^2}{\beta} \simeq \frac{1.4 \times 10^{-15} \text{ cm}^3 \cdot \text{s}^{-1}}{v} \quad (13)$$

At all energies above 25 MeV, we find that table 1 agrees with the theoretical cross section

$$\sigma_A = \frac{\pi r_p^2}{\beta_{\text{cms}}} \quad (14)$$

taking

$$r_p = 0.87 \times 10^{-13} \text{ cm} \quad (15)$$

as the proton (or antiproton) radius and using the model of Koba and Takeda (1958) in which the nucleon acts as a black absorbing sphere of radius r_p .

At lower energies ($\lesssim 10$ MeV, which correspond to annihilations of matter and antimatter gases at a temperature $\lesssim 10^{11}$ K), coulomb forces play a dominant role in the matter-antimatter interaction process. At energies $\lesssim 100$ eV, bound systems of protons and antiprotons can be formed as an intermediate stage before annihilation. The situation is similar to the case of positronium formation (Stecker 1969). As in that case, we find that under astrophysical conditions, the lifetime of the $p\text{-}\bar{p}$ system against annihilation is much shorter than its lifetime against breakup, so that the cross section for bound-state formation becomes the effective annihilation cross section. Until recently the effect of coulomb interactions on matter-antimatter annihilation was largely neglected and theoretical cross sections for bound-state formation processes had not been calculated. However, recently Morgan and Hughes (1970) have calculated the cross sections for the radiative capture processes

$$e^- + e^+ \rightarrow \Pi_e + \gamma \quad (16)$$

and

$$p + \bar{p} \rightarrow \Pi_p + \gamma \quad (17)$$

and the atomic processes

$$\bar{H} + H \rightarrow \Pi_p + (\Pi_e \text{ or } e^- + e^+) \quad (18)$$

$$H_2 + \bar{H} \rightarrow \Pi_p + (\Pi_e \text{ or } e^- + e^+) + (H \text{ or } p + e^-) \quad (19)$$

$$H_2 + \bar{H}_2 \rightarrow 2\Pi_p + (\text{various combinations of } 2e^-, 2e^+) \quad (20)$$

$$H_2 + \bar{H}_2 \rightarrow \Pi_p + (\Pi_e \text{ or } e^+ + e^-) + (\text{various combinations of } p, \bar{p}, e^+, \text{ and } 1e^-) \quad (21)$$

$$p + \bar{H} \rightarrow \Pi_p + e^+ \quad (22)$$

$$p + \bar{H}_2 \rightarrow \Pi_p + (\Pi_e \text{ or } e^+ + e^-) + (\bar{H} \text{ or } \bar{p} + e^+) \quad (23)$$

$$e^- + \bar{H} \rightarrow \Pi_e + \bar{p} \quad (24)$$

and

$$e^+ + H \rightarrow \Pi_e + p \quad (25)$$

where we have used the symbols Π_p and Π_e to denote the bound states of the p - \bar{p} and e^- - e^+ systems, respectively. Reactions (24) and (25) have already been discussed with regard to the annihilation of secondary cosmic-ray positrons in a tenuous neutral hydrogen gas (Stecker 1969). The cross sections for these reactions were calculated by Cheshire (1964). With regard to p - \bar{p} annihilation in intergalactic space, three processes in particular can be expected to be of the greatest importance:

- (1) Direct annihilations, such as (11), at energies greater than 10 MeV, where the cross section can be well represented by equations (14) and (15).
- (2) Direct annihilations at energies below 10 MeV, where the mutual coulomb attraction of the proton and antiproton distort the wave functions

of the two particles with a resultant increase in the direct-annihilation cross section. When the cross section given by equation (14) is corrected for this effect, the cross-section formula becomes modified to

$$\sigma_A = \frac{2\pi\alpha/\beta}{1 - \exp(-2\pi\alpha/\beta)} \frac{\pi r_p^2}{\beta_{\text{cms}}} \quad (26)$$

where α is the fine structure constant. For $p\text{-}\bar{p}$ interactions at energies much less than 4 MeV, where $\beta \ll 2\pi\alpha$, equation (26) reduces to

$$\sigma_A \simeq \frac{4\pi^2 \alpha r_p^2}{\beta^2}, \quad \beta \ll 2\pi\alpha \quad (27)$$

Thus, at an energy of about 4 MeV, there is a transition in the velocity dependence of the annihilation cross section from $\sigma_A \sim \beta^{-1}$ to $\sigma_A \sim \beta^{-2}$. The same is true for electron-positron interactions at energies of the order of 2 keV where $\beta \approx 2\pi\alpha$.

- (3) In interactions between neutral atomic gases of hydrogen and antihydrogen at thermal energies, the $\text{H-}\bar{\text{H}}$ rearrangement collision, reaction (18), becomes the dominant mode of $p\text{-}\bar{p}$ annihilation. Morgan and Hughes (1970) have calculated the annihilation cross section for this reaction and found that for energies between 10^{-3} and 1 eV (corresponding to thermal velocities at temperatures between 10 K and 10^4 K)

$$\sigma_{\text{H-}\bar{\text{H}}, A} \simeq (0.31 a_0)^2 \beta^{-0.64} \quad (28)$$

where a_0 is the Bohr radius of the hydrogen atom.

Radiative capture reactions of the form of equation (17) can be shown to be insignificant. The cross section for annihilations of this type (Morgan and Hughes, 1970) is

$$\sigma_{A, r} = \frac{128}{3\sqrt{3}} \alpha \left(\frac{m_e}{m_p}\right)^2 \left[\ln\left(\frac{\alpha}{\beta}\right) + 0.20 + 0.25 \left(\frac{\alpha}{\beta}\right)^{-2/3} \right] \frac{\sigma_0}{\beta^2} \quad (29)$$

Equation (29) is accurate to within at least 1 percent when $\beta \leq \alpha/7$ and is exact in the limit as $\beta \rightarrow 0$. The radiative capture cross section for the electron-positron system, viz, $e^+ + e^- \rightarrow \Pi_e + \gamma$, is given by the same formula without the quantity $(m_e/m_p)^2$. For $p\text{-}\bar{p}$ annihilations at all energies of interest $\sigma_{A, r} \ll \sigma_A$; however, in the case of electron-positron annihilation (in an ionized medium) $\sigma_{A, r} > \sigma_A$ for interactions at energies less than 20 eV.

In figure 1 we give the cross section versus temperature, energy, and thermal velocity for the reactions expected to be of importance for cosmological problems (intergalactic $p\text{-}\bar{p}$ annihilations). Figure 2 shows the values for total-annihilation cross section times velocity (proportional to the annihilation rate) based on the calculations of Morgan and Hughes, which should be valid for astrophysical and cosmological problems at the temperatures and kinetic energies indicated.*

*In interactions between partially ionized atomic gases of hydrogen and antihydrogen, reaction (22) and its charge conjugate analogue should also be considered. Morgan and Hughes find the cross section for this reaction to be

$$\sigma_{p+\bar{H}, \bar{p}+H} \simeq (0.057 a_0)^2 \beta^{-1}$$

for temperatures between 0.1 and 3000K. When partial ionization as a function of temperature is taken into account using the Saha equation, it is found that the total effective cross section is well approximated by (28) for temperatures $\lesssim 5000K$ and by (26) or (27) for greater temperatures.

We may now make use of equations (17), (18), (27), and (28) in order to reduce equation (7) to a simpler form. To do this, let us assume that matter-antimatter annihilations are taking place at thermal energies in three temperature regions defined as

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{Region I:} & \quad 10^{11} \text{ K} \lesssim T \lesssim 10^{13} \text{ K} \\
\text{Region II:} & \quad 10^4 \text{ K} \lesssim T \lesssim 10^{11} \text{ K} \\
\text{Region III:} & \quad 10 \text{ K} \lesssim T \lesssim 10^4 \text{ K}
\end{aligned} \tag{30}$$

It follows from the equations cited above that in each of these regions the annihilation cross section varies as some inverse power of the velocity. We can write an expression for this cross section for each of the temperature regions defined above thus

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{Region I:} & \quad \sigma(E_s | v) \simeq \sigma_I \left(\frac{v}{c} \right)^{-1} \\
\text{Region II:} & \quad \sigma(E_s | v) \simeq \sigma_{II} \left(\frac{v}{c} \right)^{-2} \\
\text{Region III:} & \quad \sigma(E_s | v) \simeq \sigma_{III} \left(\frac{v}{c} \right)^{-0.64}
\end{aligned} \tag{31}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
\sigma_I &= 4.8 \times 10^{-26} \text{ cm}^2 \\
\sigma_{II} &= 2.2 \times 10^{-27} \text{ cm}^2
\end{aligned} \tag{32}$$

and

$$\sigma_{III} = 2.6 \times 10^{-18} \text{ cm}^2$$

We will write for all three regions

$$\sigma(E_s|v) = \sigma_i \left(\frac{v}{c}\right)^{-\delta_i} f(E_s) \quad (33)$$

where δ_i is the exponent of the power-law velocity dependence of $\sigma(E_s|v)$ as given in equation (31) and where, as in previous discussions

$$\int_0^\infty dE_s f(E_s) \equiv 1 \quad (34)$$

If we assume that the velocity distribution of the interacting nucleons and antinucleons is thermal and can be represented by the normalized maxwellian distribution

$$f_M(v) = \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \left(\frac{m}{kT}\right)^{3/2} v^2 \exp\left(-\frac{mv^2}{2kT}\right) \quad (35)$$

at some given temperature T ; and in addition, if we define a normalized energy spectrum of the γ -radiation in the rest frame of the gas,

$$\zeta_\gamma f(E_{\gamma 0}) \equiv \sum_s \int dE_s f(E_s) \sum_d \zeta_{\gamma d} R_{\gamma d} f_{ds}(E_{\gamma 0}|E_s) \quad (36)$$

and smear it out by the distribution function,

$$D(E_\gamma|E_{\gamma 0}) \equiv \left(\frac{mc^2}{2\pi kT}\right)^{1/2} \exp\left[-\frac{mc^2}{2kT} \left(\frac{E_\gamma - E_{\gamma 0}}{E_{\gamma 0}}\right)^2\right] \quad (37)$$

to take account of the thermal Doppler broadening of the γ -ray spectrum, we may write equation (7) in the form

$$q_{\text{RG-}\overline{\text{RG}}}(\mathbf{E}_\gamma, \vec{r}) = n_p(\vec{r}) n_{\overline{p}}(\vec{r}) \sigma_i c^{\delta_i} \zeta_\gamma \left(\frac{2}{\pi}\right)^{1/2} \left(\frac{m}{kT}\right)^{3/2} \\ \times \int d\mathbf{v} v^{(3-\delta_i)} \exp\left(-\frac{m v^2}{2kT}\right) \int d\mathbf{E}_{\gamma 0} D(\mathbf{E}_\gamma | \mathbf{E}_{\gamma 0}) f(\mathbf{E}_{\gamma 0}) \quad (38)$$

Equation (38) may be further reduced by elimination of the first integral to read

$$q_{\text{RG-}\overline{\text{RG}}}(\mathbf{E}_\gamma, \mathbf{r}) = n_p(\vec{r}) n_{\overline{p}}(\vec{r}) \sigma_i c^{\delta_i} \zeta_\gamma \frac{2}{\pi^{1/2}} \Gamma\left(2 - \frac{\delta_i}{2}\right) \\ \times \left(\frac{2kT}{m}\right)^{1/2(1-\delta_i)} \int d\mathbf{E}_{\gamma 0} D(\mathbf{E}_\gamma | \mathbf{E}_{\gamma 0}) f(\mathbf{E}_{\gamma 0}) \quad (39)$$

where $\Gamma(x)$ is the gamma function.

In region I, $\delta_i = 1$, and therefore, from equation (7),

$$\int d\mathbf{v} f(\mathbf{v}) v \sigma_i c v^{-1} = \sigma_i c \int d\mathbf{v} f(\mathbf{v}) v \cdot v^{-1} = \sigma_i c \int d\mathbf{v} f(\mathbf{v}) \\ = \sigma_i c \quad (40)$$

since $\int d\mathbf{v} f(\mathbf{v}) \equiv 1$ by definition.

We therefore find that in region I,

$$q_{\text{RG-}\overline{\text{RG}}}(\mathbf{E}_\gamma, \vec{r}) = n_p(\vec{r}) n_{\overline{p}}(\vec{r}) \sigma_i c \zeta_\gamma \int d\mathbf{E}_{\gamma 0} D(\mathbf{E}_\gamma | \mathbf{E}_{\gamma 0}) f(\mathbf{E}_{\gamma 0}) \\ \text{for } 10^{11} \text{ K} \lesssim T \lesssim 10^{13} \text{ K} \quad (41)$$

In temperature regions II and III, we cannot make the simplification given by equation (40); however, we can make a different simplification. In these

temperature regions, $\beta \ll 1$, and Doppler broadening due to thermal effects provides only a negligible distortion on the γ -ray spectrum. Thus, in these temperature regions, we may write

$$D(E_\gamma | E_{\gamma 0}) \simeq \delta(E_\gamma - E_{\gamma 0}) \quad (\beta \ll 1) \quad (42)$$

and therefore

$$\int dE_{\gamma 0} D(E_\gamma | E_{\gamma 0}) f(E_{\gamma 0}) \simeq f(E_\gamma) \quad (43)$$

In region II, $\delta_{II} = 2$, and by reducing equation (39) and making use of the approximation (43), we obtain

$$q_{RG-\bar{RG}}(E_\gamma, \vec{r}) = n_p(\vec{r}) n_{\bar{p}}(\vec{r}) \sigma_{II} c \zeta_\gamma \left(\frac{2mc^2}{\pi kT} \right)^{1/2} f(E_\gamma) \quad \text{for } 10^4 \text{ K} \lesssim T \lesssim 10^{11} \text{ K} \quad (44)$$

In region III, $\delta_{III} = 0.64$, and again reducing equation (39) and using the approximation (43), we find

$$q_{RG-\bar{RG}}(E_\gamma, \vec{r}) = n_p(\vec{r}) n_{\bar{p}}(\vec{r}) \sigma_{III} c \zeta_\gamma \left[\frac{2}{\pi^{1/2}} \Gamma(1.68) \left(\frac{2kT}{mc^2} \right)^{0.18} \right] f(E_\gamma) \quad \text{for } 10 \text{ K} \lesssim T \lesssim 10^4 \text{ K} \quad (45)$$

IV. MESON AND γ -RAY PRODUCTION IN p - \bar{p} ANNIHILATIONS

Both the experimental data and the simple statistical model of Matsuda (1966) indicate that in p - \bar{p} annihilations at rest, ρ -meson production is an order of magnitude less important than pion production and that production of other

mesons is at least two orders of magnitude less frequent than pion production, (see table 2) Table 3 shows the decay schemes of these mesons that lead to final-state γ -rays and other relevant data. Table 4 shows some recent data on meson production indicating that about 20 percent of the γ -rays produced arise through nonpionic meson production. The largest nonpion contribution to the γ -ray spectrum is due to the ρ -meson decay schemes



The ρ meson is an isospin triplet ($T = 1$) constructed from two pions, each having $T = 1$. Evaluation of the Clebsch-Gordon coefficients for this construction yields

$$\begin{aligned} |\rho^+ \rangle &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (|\pi^+ \rangle |\pi^0 \rangle - |\pi^0 \rangle |\pi^+ \rangle) \\ |\rho^0 \rangle &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (|\pi^+ \rangle |\pi^- \rangle - |\pi^- \rangle |\pi^+ \rangle) \\ |\rho^- \rangle &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (|\pi^0 \rangle |\pi^- \rangle - |\pi^- \rangle |\pi^0 \rangle) . \end{aligned} \quad (47)$$

Since the ρ^0 construction does not contain any $|\pi^0 \rangle |\pi^0 \rangle$ terms,

$$|\langle \pi^0 \pi^0 | \rho^0 \rangle|^2 = 0 \quad (48)$$

and, therefore,

$$\rho^0 \not\rightarrow \pi^0 + \pi^0 \quad (49)$$

Gamma-rays from ρ^\pm decay (reaction (46)) possess an average energy of 210 MeV, not much different from the 190-MeV average energy given to γ -rays from the directly produced pions that are an order of magnitude more

frequent. Because other mesons are produced even less frequently than the ρ mesons, we can conclude that mesons other than pions have a negligible effect on the total γ -ray spectrum from $p\text{-}\bar{p}$ annihilation.

If we assume that the large majority of γ -rays from $RG\text{-}\overline{RG}$ interactions arise through π^0 decay, equation (36) reduces to

$$f(E_\gamma) = \int_{E_\gamma + (m_\pi^2/4E_\gamma)}^{\infty} dE_\pi \frac{f_A(E_\pi)}{(E_\pi^2 - m_\pi^2)^{1/2}} \quad (50)$$

The process

$$p + \bar{p} \rightarrow \pi^0 \quad (51)$$

is, of course, forbidden by conservation of momentum. The process

$$p + \bar{p} \rightarrow \pi^0 + \pi^0 \quad (52)$$

is also forbidden since $p\text{-}\bar{p}$ annihilations at rest occur predominantly from the S states of the $p\text{-}\bar{p}$ system.

The selection rule that forbids reaction (52) follows from conservation of G conjugation parity.

The process of G conjugation is an extension of charge (C) conjugation, which holds for neutral as well as charged particles. It is defined as

$$G = Ce^{i\pi T_2} \quad (53)$$

where C is the charge-conjugation operator and T_2 is the second component of the isospin vector. From (53) we can show the commutation relation

$$[T, G] = 0 \quad (54)$$

Thus, we may describe particle states as simultaneous eigenstates of both G and T .

It can be shown (Sakurai, 1964) that systems having baryon number 0 are in an eigenstate of G . The $p\bar{p}$ system is just such a system. For this system

$$G = (-1)^{L+S+T} \quad (55)$$

where L , S , and T are the orbital, spin, and isospin quantum numbers of the state, respectively.

In a state consisting of a single pion, $L = 0$, $S = 0$, $T = 1$, and, therefore, $G = -1$. In a final state consisting of ζ_π pions, G is given by

$$G = (-1)^{\zeta_\pi} \quad (56)$$

The selection rules (55) and (56) indicate that for an S -state annihilation ($L = 0$), the final state consisting of two neutral pions is strictly forbidden (Lee and Yang, 1956).

Therefore, the extremum γ -ray energies that we would expect from this decay would result from interactions of the type

$$p + \bar{p} \rightarrow \pi^+ + \pi^- + \pi^0 \quad (57)$$

resulting in pions with the given maximum energy

$$\begin{aligned} E_{\pi^0, \max} &= \frac{1}{2(2m_p)} [(2m_p)^2 + m_{\pi^0}^2 - (2m_{\pi^\pm})^2] \\ &= m_p - \frac{3}{4} \frac{m_\pi^2}{m_p} \simeq 923 \text{ MeV} \end{aligned} \quad (58)$$

Thus, the annihilation γ -rays are limited to the energy region

$$\frac{1}{2} [E_{\pi^0, \min} - (E_{\pi^0, \max}^2 - m_\pi^2)^{1/2}] \leq E_\gamma \leq \frac{1}{2} [E_{\pi^0, \max}^0 + (E_{\pi^0, \max}^2 - m_\pi^2)^{1/2}] \quad (59)$$

or $5 \text{ MeV} \leq E_\gamma \leq 919 \text{ MeV}$.

The process

$$p + \bar{p} \rightarrow \gamma + \gamma \quad (60)$$

may also occur; but this process, involving the electromagnetic emission (em) of two photons, is of the order

$$\sigma_{\text{em}} = \alpha^2 \sigma_{\text{A, strong}} \simeq \frac{2.6 \times 10^{-30} \text{ cm}^2}{\beta} \quad (61)$$

and therefore this process may be neglected as a significant contribution to γ -ray production.

V. THE GAMMA-RAY SPECTRUM FROM PROTON-ANTIPROTON ANNIHILATIONS AT REST

As we showed in the previous section, we can neglect the contribution from the decay of mesons other than neutral pions in calculations of the γ -ray spectrum from p - \bar{p} annihilations at rest. The normalized γ -ray spectrum was calculated numerically from the relation (50) with the normalized distribution function $f_A(E_\pi)$ taken from the calculations of Maksimenko (1958), based on the statistical theory of multiple particle production.

The resultant spectrum, up to 750 MeV, is shown in figure 3. Frye and Smith (1966) have recently calculated the γ -ray spectrum from p - \bar{p} annihilation up to 500 MeV, based on recent measurements of charged pions from p - \bar{p} annihilation by the Columbia University group. The excellent agreement between

the results of figure 3 and the calculations of Frye and Smith not only serves as a mutual check on the calculations but also supports our previous conclusion that mesons other than pions have a negligible effect on the total γ -ray spectrum from p - \bar{p} annihilation at rest.

VI. REDSHIFTED SPECTRA

For cosmological γ -ray production, the quantity B defined by equation (8) becomes

$$B \rightarrow \int dz \, n_p(z) \, n_{\bar{p}}(z) \frac{dl}{dz} \quad (62)$$

z is defined as the redshift suffered by a photon originating at a distance l .

The derivative

$$\frac{dl}{dz} = \frac{c}{H_0 (1+z)^2 (1 + \Omega z)^{1/2}}$$

where H_0 is the Hubble constant and $\Omega \simeq 10^5 \text{ cm}^3 n_{p,0}$ and the densities

$$n_p(z) = n_{p,0} (1+z)^3$$

and (63)

$$n_{\bar{p}}(z) = n_{\bar{p},0} (1+z)^3$$

(see, e.g., McVittie 1965).

Defining

$$B_0 \equiv \left(\frac{c}{H_0} \right) n_{p,0} n_{\bar{p},0} \quad (64)$$

we find by taking account of the effects of redshift, expansion, and time dilatation in the expanding universe

$$I_A(E_\gamma) = \frac{B_0 \sigma_i c^{\delta_i}}{2\pi^{3/2}} \left(\frac{2k}{m}\right)^{\left(\frac{1-\delta_i}{2}\right)} \Gamma\left(2 - \frac{\delta_i}{2}\right) \times \int dz [T(z)]^{\left(\frac{1-\delta_i}{2}\right)} \frac{(1+z)^2}{(1+\Omega z)^{1/2}} G_A[(1+z)E_\gamma] \quad (65)$$

where $i = \text{II, III}$; $\sigma_{\text{II}} = 2$; $\delta_{\text{III}} = 0.64$; $\sigma_{\text{II}} = 1.1 \times 10^{-27} \text{ cm}^2$; $\sigma_{\text{III}} = 2.6 \times 10^{-18} \text{ cm}^2$; $G_A(E_\gamma) \equiv \zeta_\gamma f_A(E_\gamma)$ where $f_A(E_\gamma)$ is shown in figure 3; and T_m is the matter temperature of the universe, which is a function of z . The matter temperature

$$T(z) \simeq \begin{cases} 2.7 \text{ K } (1+z) & \text{for } z \gtrsim 150 \\ 1.8 \times 10^{-2} \text{ K } (1+z)^2 & \text{for } z \lesssim 150 \end{cases} \quad (66)$$

(Zel'dovich, et al., 1969).

It follows that equations (65) and (66) together with the bounded form of the function $G_A(E_\gamma)$ yield source spectra of the form $E^{-\Gamma}$ as shown in table 5 when absorption effects can be neglected.

At high redshifts, when pair production and Compton scattering become important, it becomes necessary to solve a cosmological-photon-transport (CPT) equation in order to determine the γ -ray spectrum.

VII. THE COSMOLOGICAL-PHOTON-TRANSPORT EQUATION

The cosmological-photon-transport (CPT) equation for x-rays and γ -rays has been discussed at great length by Arons (1971a, b). Our formulation differs from his basically only in the definition of the γ -ray intensity, I . Our intensity

is defined as a photon intensity in units of photons per $\text{cm}^2\text{-s-sr-MeV}$ (or in energy units of $0.511 \text{ MeV} = m_e c^2$). The intensity defined by Arons is in terms of an energy flux rather than a photon flux. The energy flux decreases more sharply with redshift than the photon flux, since the energy of each photon suffers an adiabatic expansion loss as the universe expands. Thus, the form of the CPT equation used here is slightly different than that used by Arons.

Defining $y \equiv 1 + z$, and $\epsilon = E_\gamma/m_e c^2$, we can write the CPT equation as follows

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} (y^{-3} I(\epsilon, y)) + \frac{\partial}{\partial \epsilon} \left(\frac{d\epsilon}{dt} y^{-3} I(\epsilon, y) \right) = y^{-3} Q_A(\epsilon, y) \quad (67)$$

$$- n(y) \sigma_a(\epsilon) c y^{-3} I(\epsilon, y) + n(y) c y^{-3} \int_{\epsilon}^{b(\epsilon)} d\epsilon' \sigma(\epsilon|\epsilon') I(\epsilon', y)$$

where $y^{-3} I$ is the specific comoving photon intensity in the expanding universe, $Q_A(\epsilon, y)$ is the source term of γ -rays produced in matter-antimatter annihilations, and $n(y)$ is the average gas density in the intergalactic medium. The quantity $d\epsilon/dt$ describes the energy loss rate of photons due to the expansion of the universe which is given by

$$\frac{d\epsilon}{dt} = \frac{\epsilon}{y} \frac{dy}{dt} = -\frac{\epsilon}{y} \left[H_0 y^2 \sqrt{1 - \Omega + \Omega y} \right] \quad (68)$$

(see, e.g., McVittie 1965). The second term on the right hand side of equation (67) describes the absorption of γ -rays by intergalactic gas due to pair-production and Compton scattering. The integral term describes the transport of photons being scattered down in energy by Compton interactions.

The partial derivative

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \rightarrow \frac{dy}{dt} \frac{\partial}{\partial y} = -H_0 y^2 \sqrt{1 - \Omega + \Omega y} \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \quad (69)$$

Substitution of equations (68) and (69) in equation (67) and subsequent simplification yields the CPT equation in the form

$$y \frac{\partial I}{\partial y} + \epsilon \frac{\partial I}{\partial \epsilon} = 2I + \frac{\Omega}{\sigma_u} \frac{y^2}{\sqrt{1 - \Omega + \Omega y}} \left[\sigma_a I - \int_{\epsilon}^{b(\epsilon)} d\epsilon' \sigma(\epsilon|\epsilon') I - \tilde{n}_{\bar{p},0} \frac{G_A(\epsilon)}{4\pi} y^3 \Phi(y) \right] \quad (70)$$

where

$$\sigma_u \equiv \frac{H_0}{n_c c} \simeq 10^{-23} \text{ cm}^2 \quad (71)$$

and

$$n_c = 3H_0^2/8\pi G \simeq 10^{-5} \text{ cm}^{-3}.$$

The upper limit on the Compton-scattering integral,

$$b(\epsilon) \equiv \begin{cases} \epsilon/(1 - 2\epsilon), & \epsilon < \frac{1}{2} \\ \infty & , \epsilon \geq \frac{1}{2} \end{cases}, \quad (72)$$

and the interaction velocity function

$$\Phi(y) \equiv \frac{\sigma_A [T(y)] v [T(y)]}{\sigma_u} \quad (73)$$

and

$$G_A(\epsilon) \equiv \frac{Q_A(\epsilon, y)}{\tilde{n}_p(y) \tilde{n}_{\bar{p}}(y) \Phi(y)} \quad (74)$$

is the γ -ray source term normalized per interaction as shown in figure (3).

We further define

$$\psi \equiv \frac{1 - \Omega}{\Omega}, \quad (75)$$

and

$$\xi \equiv \frac{\pi r_0^2}{\sigma_u} \Omega^{\frac{1}{2}} \simeq 2.5 \times 10^{-2} \Omega^{\frac{1}{2}} \quad (76)$$

where r is the classical radius of the electron. We then introduce a dimensionless absorption term $A(\epsilon) = A_c(\epsilon) + A_p(\epsilon)$ to take account of both Compton scattering and pair production where

$$A_c(\epsilon) \equiv \frac{2 + 2\epsilon}{\epsilon^2} \left(\frac{2 + 2\epsilon}{1 + 2\epsilon} - \frac{\ln(1 + 2\epsilon)}{\epsilon} \right) + \frac{\ln(1 + 2\epsilon)}{\epsilon} - \frac{2 + 6\epsilon}{(1 + 2\epsilon)^2}, \quad (77)$$

and

$$A_p(\epsilon) \equiv \begin{cases} 3.25 \times 10^{-3} L(\epsilon), & L(\epsilon) = (3.12 \ln \epsilon - 8.07) \geq 0 \\ 0, & L(\epsilon) < 0 \end{cases} \quad (78)$$

A dimensionless transfer function is also defined as

$$B(\epsilon | \epsilon') \equiv \frac{\epsilon^2}{(\epsilon')^4} \left[\frac{2}{\epsilon'} - \frac{2}{\epsilon} + \frac{1}{(\epsilon')^2} + \frac{1}{\epsilon^2} - \frac{2}{\epsilon \epsilon'} + \frac{\epsilon}{\epsilon'} + \frac{\epsilon'}{\epsilon} \right] \quad (79)$$

(Heitler 1954).

Using these definitions, we obtain the final form for the CPT equation as used in the numerical calculations

$$y \frac{\partial I}{\partial y} + \epsilon \frac{\partial I}{\partial \epsilon} = 2I + y^2 (y + \psi)^{-1/2} \left[\xi A(\epsilon) I - \frac{\tilde{n}_{\bar{p},0} f_A(\epsilon)}{4\pi} y^3 \Phi(y) - \xi \int_{\epsilon}^{b(\epsilon)} d\epsilon' B(\epsilon|\epsilon') I(\epsilon') \right] \quad (80)$$

VIII. COMPARISON OF CALCULATED γ -RAY SPECTRUM FROM COSMOLOGICAL MATTER-ANTIMATTER ANNIHILATION WITH OBSERVATIONS

The function $I_A(E_\gamma, y = 1)/\tilde{n}_{p,0} \tilde{n}_{\bar{p},0}$, obtained by numerical solution of the CPT equation using the method of characteristics, is shown by the solid line in figure 4. If we chose a value for $\tilde{n}_{p,0} \tilde{n}_{\bar{p},0}$ of $4 \times 10^{-26} \text{ cm}^{-6}$ for the product of the mean densities of interacting matter and antimatter at present ($z = 0$), we obtain a theoretical annihilation spectrum compatible in both form and intensity to the observed spectrum of cosmic background γ -radiation above 1 MeV as is shown in curve A of figure 5. We conclude that the observations of Vette, et al. (1970), which indicate a marked deviation from the power-law X-ray spectrum (curve X), may be evidence of the existence of antimatter on a cosmological scale. This conclusion, however, is subject to the following conditions:

(1) There must be an additional power-law background component of X-rays below 1 MeV of another origin. Our calculations of the annihilation γ -ray spectrum below 1 MeV (not presented in their entirety here) fall well below the observed power-law spectrum in that energy range

(2) The peak in the calculated annihilation spectrum near 1 MeV is caused by absorption and scattering of the γ -rays by interactions with an intergalactic medium having an average density near the critical value $n_c \simeq 10^{-5} \text{ cm}^{-3}$.

(3) There is strong evidence that at a redshift of $z \sim 2.5$ (or perhaps somewhat greater) the intergalactic medium is strongly ionized and equation (3) does not hold (Gunn and Peterson 1965, Rees 1969). At the redshift where reionization occurs there will be a rapid drop in the cross section for annihilation (see figure 1) resulting in a sharp steepening of the γ -ray spectrum at an energy $E_{\gamma,c} \sim 50 \text{ MeV}$. Above $E_{\gamma,c}$ the spectrum will drop off much more rapidly than the power-law dependence $\sim E_{\gamma}^{-2.86}$ shown in figure 3. Assuming that the reionization of the intergalactic medium takes place at a redshift of 2.5, we obtain the dashed line shown in figure 5 for the high-energy end of the annihilation spectrum.

(4) The observational data on the γ -ray spectrum above 1 MeV do not provide enough information at present to yield a unique identification of their origin. There have been several alternative attempts to explain the background flux as being due to the decay of neutral pions produced by cosmic-ray interactions at high redshifts (the protar hypothesis (Stecker 1969a, 1969b, 1971)), galactic electron bremsstrahlung (Rees and Silk 1970), extragalactic electron bremsstrahlung (Silk 1970) extragalactic proton bremsstrahlung (Brown 1970) and nuclear emission lines (Clayton and Silk 1969). More recent work shows that none of these alternatives except the protar hypothesis seems capable of explaining both the form and intensity of the observed flux (Vette, et al. 1970, Stecker, et al. 1971, Stecker and Morgan 1971, Jones 1971).*

* A suggested explanation by Sunyaev (ZhETF Pis. Red. 12, 381 (1970)) has recently come to our attention. He suggests that bremsstrahlung radiation from relativistic elec-

-ray spectrum produced as a result of cosmic-ray interactions at high redshifts is shown in the curve marked CR in figure 5. It can be seen from figure 5 that definitive measurements of the cosmic γ -ray background spectrum above 6 MeV will provide an observational test between the protar hypothesis (curve CR) and the annihilation hypothesis (curve A). The annihilation hypothesis predicts a steepening in the background spectrum above 50 MeV whereas the protar hypothesis predicts a steepening in the spectrum at about 7 GeV (Fazio and Stecker 1970). Should future investigations indicate that the background γ -radiation above 1 MeV is not due primarily to matter-antimatter annihilation, this will place an upper limit on the product $\tilde{n}_{p,0} \tilde{n}_{\bar{p},0}$ of the order of 10^{-26} cm^{-6} .

trons in the nuclei of Seyfert galaxies would have a spectrum consistent with the Vette, et al. results and have a sharp cutoff above 20 MeV consistent with the upper limits at higher energies. The sharp cutoff at 20 MeV suggested by Sunyaev has been disputed by Prilutsky, Ochelov, Rozental and Shukalov (U.S.S.R. Academy of Sciences Institute for Space Research Preprint #51, 1970). The problem of producing a high enough intensity of gamma-rays from this mechanism has been discussed by Bisnovaty-Kogan and Sunyaev (Ap. Lett. 7, 237 (1971)).

Note on recent experimental results: Trombka (private communication) has indicated that a recent reanalysis of the Ranger 3 results above 1 MeV is in agreement with the measurements of Vette, et al. Golenetsky (Moscow Seminar on Cosmic-Rays and Astrophysics 1971) has reported that new upper limits obtained by a detector aboard the low-altitude Cosmos 135 satellite for γ -rays of energies up to 3 MeV are in conflict with the measurements of Vette, et al.

REFERENCES

- Alvén, H. 1965. *Rev. Mod. Phys.* 37, 652.
- Arons, J. 1971a. *Ap. J.* 164, 437.
- _____ 1971b. *Ap. J.* 164, 457.
- Bratolyubova-Tsulukidze, L. I., Grigorov, N. L., Kalinkin, L. F., Melioransky, A. S., Pryakhin, E. A., Savenko, I. A., and Yufarkin, V. Ya. 1970. *Acta Physica Academiae Scientiarum Hungaricae* 29, Suppl. 1, 123.
- Brown, R. L. 1970. *Lettere al Nuovo Cimento* 4, 941.
- Baltay, C., Ferbel, T., Sandweiss, J., Taft, H. D., Culwick, B. B., Fowler, W. B., Gailloud, M., Kopp, J. K., Louttit, R. I., Morris, T. W., Sanford, J. R., Schutt, R., Stonehill, D. L., Stump, R., Thorndike, A. M., Webster, M. S., Willis, W. J., Bachmann, A. H., Baumel, P., and Lea, R. M. 1964. *Proc. Int. Conf. on Nuclear Structure*. Stanford, Stanford University Press, 267.
- Cheshire, I. M. 1964. *Proc. Phys. Soc.* 83, 227.
- Clark, G. W., Garmire, G. P., and Kraushaar, W. L. 1970. Private communication.
- Clayton, D. D., and Silk, J. 1969. *Ap. J. (Letters)* 158, L43.
- Cork, B., Dahl, O. I., Miller, D. H., Tenner, A. G., and Wang, C. L. 1962. *Nuovo Cimento* 25, 497.
- Fazio, G. G., and Stecker, F. W. 1970. *Nature* 226, 135.

- Ferbel, T., Firestone, A., Johnson, J., Sandweiss, J., and Taft, H. D. 1965.
Nuovo Cimento 38, 12.
- Frye, G. M., and Smith, L. H. 1966. Phys. Rev. Lett. 17, 733.
- Gunn, J. E., and Peterson, B. A. 1965. Ap. J. 142, 1633.
- Harrison, E. R. 1967. Phys. Rev. Letters 18, 1011.
- Heitler, W. 1954. The Quantum Theory of Radiation London: Oxford Press.
- Jones, F. C. 1971. To be published.
- Konstantinov, B. P., Golenetskii, S. V., Mazeta, Ya. P., Il'inskii, V. N.,
Aptekar', R. L., Bredov, N. M., Gur'yan, Yu. A., and Panov, V. M. 1970.
Kosm. Issled. 8, 923.
- Lee, T. D., and Yang, C. N. 1956. Nuovo Cimento 3, 749.
- Loken, J. G., and Derrick, M. 1963. Phys. Lett. 3, 334.
- Matsuda, S. 1966. Phys. Rev. 150, 1197.
- McVittie, G. C. 1965. General Relativity and Cosmology Urbana: University
of Illinois Press.
- Metzger, A. E., Anderson, E. C., van Dilla, M. A., and Arnold, J. R. 1964.
Nature 204, 766.
- Morgan, D. L., Jr., and Hughes, V. 1970. Phys. Rev. D2, 1389.
- Omnés, R. 1969. Phys. Rev. Letters 23, 48.

Rees, M. J. 1969. *Ap. Letters* 4, 113.

Rees, M. J., and Silk, J. 1969. *Astron. and Ap.* 3, 452.

Sakurai, J. J. 1964. *Invariance Principles and Elementary Particles*. Princeton N.J.: Princeton University Press.

Share, G. H. 1970. Private communication (correction of unpublished thesis results).

Share, G. H., Kinzer, R. L., and Seeman, N. 1971. *Bull. Amer. Phys. Soc.* Ser. 2 16, 534.

Silk, J. 1970. *Space. Sci. Rev.* 11, 671.

Stecker, F. W. 1967. *Smithsonian Astrophys. Obs. Special Rpt. No.* 261.

_____ 1969a. *Ap. J.* 157, 507.

_____ 1969b. *Nature* 224, 870.

_____ 1971. *Nature* 229, 105.

Stecker, F. W., and Morgan, D. L., Jr. 1971. To be published.

Stecker, F. W., Vette, J. I., and Trombka, J. I. 1971. *Nature Phys. Sci.* 231, 122.

Vette, J. I., Gruber, D., Matteson, J. L., and Peterson, L. E. 1970. *Ap. J.* (Letters) 160, L161.

Volobuev, S. A., Galper, A. M., Kirillov-Ugryumov, V. G., Luchkov, B. I.,
Ozerov, Yu. V., Rozental, I. L., Shermanzon, E. M., Grigorov, N. L.,
Kalinkin, L. F., Melioranskii, A. S., Savenko, I. A., and Shashko, T. A.
1970. *Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Fiz.* 34, 2259.

Zel'dovich, Yu. B., Kurt, V. G., and Sunyaev, R. A. 1969. *Sov. Phys. JETP*
28, 146.

Table 1

Experimental Cross Sections for $p\text{-}\bar{p}$ Annihilation as a
Function of Incident Antiproton Kinetic Energy

$T_{\bar{p}}$, MeV	σ_A , mb	$\beta_{\bar{p}}$, cms	$\beta_{\bar{p}}, \sigma_A$, mb	Reference
25 to 40	192 \pm 34	0.13	25	Loken and Derrick (1963) .
45	175 \pm 45	.15	26	Cork et al. (1962) .
40 to 55	155 \pm 27	.16	25	Loken and Derrick (1963) .
55 to 80	118 \pm 26	.20	24	Loken and Derrick (1963) .
90	101 \pm 9	.22	22	Cork et al. (1962) .
145	99 \pm 8	.28	28	Cork et al. (1962) .
245	66 \pm 6	.36	24	Cork et al. (1962) .
7000	23.6 \pm 3.4	~ 1	24	Ferbel et al. (1965) .

Table 2

Average Energies and Production Rates for Various Particles
Produced in $p\text{-}\bar{p}$ Annihilations at Rest
[Matsuda, 1966]

τ , particle or pair	Average energy, MeV	Calculated production rate	Experimental production rate
π	380	3.96	3.94 ± 0.33
ρ	850	2.3×10^{-1}	$(2.5 \pm 0.6) \times 10^{-1}$
ω	940	4.2×10^{-2}	$(4.5 \pm 0.7) \times 10^{-2}$
η	860	2.4×10^{-2}	$(1.4 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-2}$
$K\bar{K}$	(K)660	3.1×10^{-2}	$(3.3 \pm 1.6) \times 10^{-2}$
$K\bar{K}^*, \bar{K}K^*$		20.6×10^{-3}	$(8.8 \pm 1.8) \times 10^{-3}$
$K^*\bar{K}^*$	(K*)980	3.8×10^{-3}	$(3.9 \pm 0.7) \times 10^{-3}$

Table 3

Decay Modes, Branching Ratios, and γ -Ray Multiplicities
for Various Particles Produced in $p\text{-}\bar{p}$ Annihilations

Decay mode	Branching ratio, R	$\zeta_{\gamma} R$
$\rho^{\pm} \rightarrow \pi^{\pm} + \pi^0$	~ 1.00	2.0
$\omega \rightarrow \pi^{+} + \pi^{-} + \pi^0$.89	1.78
$\rightarrow \pi^0 + \gamma$.10	.30
$\eta \rightarrow \gamma + \gamma$.386	.78
$\rightarrow 3\pi^0$ or $\pi^0 + 2\gamma$.308	1.5
$\rightarrow \pi^{+} + \pi^{-} + \pi^0$.250	.5
$\rightarrow \pi^{+} + \pi^{-} + \gamma$.055	.05
$K^{\pm} \rightarrow \pi^{\pm} + \pi^0$ ^a	.215	.43
$K_0 \left\{ \begin{array}{l} K_1^0 \rightarrow \pi^0 + \pi^0 \\ K_2^0 \rightarrow \pi^0 + \pi^0 + \pi^0 \\ K_2^0 \rightarrow \pi^{+} + \pi^{-} + \pi^0 \end{array} \right.$.155 .133 .067	.62 .80 .1
$K^{*} \rightarrow K + \pi$	—	—

^aOther γ -ray-producing decay modes have negligible branching ratios.

Table 4

Production Rates for Various Meson-Producing Channels
in $p\text{-}\bar{p}$ Annihilations at Rest
[From Baltay et al., 1964]

Channel	Rate, %	ζ_γ	$\zeta_\gamma R$
$\rho^0 \pi^0$	1.4 ± 0.2	2	2.8
$\rho^\pm \pi^\pm$	2.9 ± 0.4	2	5.8
$\rho^0 \pi^+ \pi^-^a$	$5.8 \begin{smallmatrix} + 0.3 \\ - 1.3 \end{smallmatrix}$	0	0
$\rho^0 \rho^0$	0.4 ± 0.3	0	0
$\rho^0 \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$	7.3 ± 1.7	2	14.6
$\rho^\pm \pi^\pm \pi^+ \pi^-$	6.4 ± 1.8	2	12.8
$\omega^0 \pi^+ \pi^-^a$	3.8 ± 0.4	2	7.6
$\eta^0 \pi^+ \pi^-^a$	1.2 ± 0.3	~ 2.6	3.1
$\omega^0 \rho^0$	0.7 ± 0.3	2	—
$\eta^0 \rho^0$	0.22 ± 0.17	~ 2.6	—

^aIncludes cases where $\pi^+ \pi^-$ were from ρ^0 decay.

Table 5

Exponents of Cosmological Power-Law Annihilation γ -Ray Spectra

Ω	z	$E_{\gamma 0} \simeq \frac{70 \text{ MeV}}{(1+z)}$	δ	Γ
1	0-150	500 keV-70 MeV	0.64	2.86
	150-1000	70 keV-500 keV	0.64	2.68
	$10^3\text{-}10^6$	< 70 keV	2	2.00
$\simeq 0$	0-150	500 keV-70 MeV	0.64	3.36
	150-1000	70 keV-500 keV	0.64	3.18
	$10^3\text{-}10^6$	< 70 keV	2	2.50




Figure 1. Proton-antiproton annihilation cross sections as a function of temperature and kinetic energy for free nucleons and atoms (Morgan and Hughes, 1970). The curve marked σ_p takes into account the mutual coulomb attraction between the proton and antiproton; the curve marked $\sigma_{p'}$ is an extrapolation from the accelerator data that fails to take this effect into account.




Figure 2. Cross section times velocity for hydrogen-antihydrogen annihilation of nucleons given as a sum for the interactions shown in figure 3-1 integrated over a Maxwell-Boltzmann distribution at temperature T and taking ionization into account. (a) $\sigma_{H+\bar{H}}$ (extrapolated). (b) $\sigma_{H+\bar{H}}$ (calculated). (c) $\sigma_{H+\bar{H}}$, $\sigma_{p+\bar{p}}$ and $\sigma_{\bar{p}+H}$, multiplied by appropriate factors to take a count of fractional ionization as determined using the Saha equation. (d) $\sigma_{p+\bar{p}}$, taking coulomb attraction into account. (e) $\sigma_{p+\bar{p}}$, from high-energy accelerator data.




Figure 3. Normalized γ -ray spectrum from $p\text{--}\bar{p}$ annihilation




Figure 4. The cosmological γ -ray annihilation spectrum calculated by numerical solution of the CPT equation (equation (80)) for $\Omega = 1$. The solid line represents the complete solution. The other curves show the effect of neglecting the absorption and scattering terms in the CPT equation.




Figure 5. Observations of the γ -ray background spectrum together with the theoretical spectra discussed in the text.